





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,075	10/19/2001	Satoru Okada	723-1190	8698
27562	7590 08/27/2003			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C. 1100 N. GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			ENATSKY, AARON L	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713 DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ΛK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/982,075	OKADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Aaron L Enatsky	3713
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u>May 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Ti	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	• •
4) Claim(s) <u>25-32,34-39 and 41-44</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-32,34-39 and 41-44</u> is/are rejecte	ed.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examino		Formula
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accelling		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		pproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		lication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Be * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been re- ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language pr		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(s)		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Description Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Examiner acknowledges receipt of amendment on 05/27/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25, 27-29, 31-32, 34, 36-39, 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,981,296 to Shiraishi et al. (Hereinafter, Shiraishi) in view of CardBus (Pages 1-3). Shiraishi teaches of a high speed data processor used with electronic games having the ability to reduce the processor speed to accommodate the electronic game software designed to run on a slower processor (1:10-24). Shiraishi also discloses that the processor speed can be of multiple speeds, not limited to two speeds (3:16-18). As Shiraishi teaches the variable speed process for use with video games, it is obvious that structural elements of a memory media for graphics and sound data, video output, and a connector for coupling the above elements are present in the above teachings, as it is notoriously well known that video game systems use the above-mentioned items. Shiraishi though, does not teach the use of a storage media storing clock speed data, rather that a user would select the correct clock speed. However, as Shiraishi clearly teaches manually altering processor speeds for use with electronic gaming systems one would have been motivated to include the correct processor speed with a

Art Unit: 3713

specific game as it obvious to automate a manual process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching Shiraishi and include processor speed configurations with a specific game to reduce the burden on a user of manually switching between processor speeds for a particular game. Additionally, it is notorious well known that games and game system function can be duplicated in portable or console system format where a portable game system would include the claimed features of handheld game play, LCD display, and DMA operation. Shiraishi also does not disclose storing compatibility data in a memory storage medium. Cardbus teaches a portable memory storage device for use in portable/desktop computers that stores compatibility data so that a determination can be made as to the interoperability between a host system and the memory device using device IDs (Adapters, page 2 and Conclusion, page 3). While not explicitly stated, it is also notoriously well known that applications of Cardbus, also known as PCMCIA technology, cover the gamut of computing technology including memory, I/O interfaces, audio, video, networking, and other miscellaneous devices. In a memory embodiment, Cardbus could serve to hold any type of information, including game information. Therefore, one would be motivated to modify Shiraishi to include the compatibility data in the game memory medium taught by Cardbus to determine device interoperability to let users know whether the card is compatible with the host system (Configuration, page 3).

Claims 26, 30, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi in view of Cardbus as applied to claims 25, 27-29, 31-32, 34, 36-39, 41-44 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,556,108 to Nagano et al. (Nagano). Shiraishi in view of Cardbus teach the limitations as discussed above, but fail to disclose specifics of compatibility

Application/Control Number: 09/982,075

Page 4

Art Unit: 3713

data related to color information. Nagano teaches a color conversion system that checks for color data on a portable storage medium to decide whether to execute a color conversion program (Fig. 22 and 6:37-43). One would be motivated to modify the game system taught by Shiraishi in view of Cardbus with color compatibility data taught by Nagano so that any color conversion that takes place will allow a game with a lesser number of colors be reproduced with a greater number of colors that the current host system can reproduce (2:30-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the color compatibility data taught by Nagano in the compatibility data memory of the game system taught by Shiraishi in view of Cardbus to increase player enjoyment by refreshing an old game with a new look of enhanced color.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/16/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant's arguments in view of the prior art rejection are now moot in view of the new 4. rejection as discussed above.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,075 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

US 5,954,808 to Paul teaches storing initialization/configuration data on a portable, removable card for use in a computer-based system. The configuration information can comprise a plurality of different types including configuring hardware and software.

US 5,161,803 to Ohara teaches a portable game machine with removable cartridges that have a cartridge identifier to aid in game system configuration.

US 6,311,246 to Wegner et al. teaches a memory device that stores a device ID along with clock speed configuration information.

US 5,727,208 to Brown teaches dynamic clock speed reconfiguration for the purpose of energy savings.

US 6,052,794 to Polzin et al. teaches a removable card that contains device ID and configuration information in a computing system.

US 5,184,830 to Okada et al. teaches a portable game machine using a plurality of removable cartridges capable of storing any type of information.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,075

Art Unit: 3713

Conclusion

Page 6

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron L Enatsky whose telephone number is 703-305-3525. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Aaron Enatsky

August 18, 2003

Teresa Walberg Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

9. Walkery